arXiv:1002.4893v1 [math.RA] 25 Feb 2010

GRADINGS BY GROUPS ON GRADED CARTAN TYPE LIE ALGEBRAS

JASON MCGRAW

Abstract. In this paper we describe all gradings by abelian groups without elements of order p, where $p > 2$ is the characteristic of the base field, on the simple graded Cartan type Lie algebras.

1. Introduction

Let A be an algebra, G a group and let $\operatorname{Aut} A$, $\operatorname{Aut} G$ be the automorphism groups of A and G, respectively.

Definition 1.1. A *grading by a group* G on an algebra A, also called a G*-grading*, is a decomposition $A = \bigoplus_{g\in G} A_g$ where each A_g is a subspace such that $A_{g'}A_{g''} \subset A_{g'g''}$ for all g', $g'' \in G$. For each $g \in G$, we call the subspace A_g the *homogeneous space* of degree g. A subspace V of A is called *graded* if $V = \bigoplus_{g\in G}(V \cap A_g)$. The set $\operatorname{Supp} A = \{g \in G \mid A_g \neq 0\}$ is called the *support* of the grading.

For a grading by a group G on a *simple* Lie algebra L, it is well known that the subgroup generated by the support is abelian [5, Lemma 2.1]. For the remainder of the paper we always assume without loss of generality that the group is generated by the support. If A is finite-dimensional, this assumption implies that G is finitely generated.

Definition 1.2. Two gradings $A = \displaystyle\bigoplus_{g\in G} A_g$ and $A = \displaystyle\bigoplus_{h\in G} A'_h$ of an algebra A are called *equivalent* if there exist $\Psi \in \operatorname{Aut}(A)$ and $\theta \in \operatorname{Aut}(G)$ such that $\Psi(A_g) = A'_{\theta(g)}$ for all $g \in G$. If θ is the identity, we call the gradings *isomorphic*.

Definition 1.3. Let $A = \bigoplus_{g\in G} A_g$ be a grading by a group G on an algebra A and φ a group homomorphism of G onto H. The *coarsening of the* G*-grading induced by* φ is the H-grading defined by $A = \bigoplus_{h\in H} \overline{A}_h$ where

$$\overline{A}_h = \bigoplus_{g\in G,\ \varphi(g)=h} A_g.$$

The task of finding all gradings on simple Lie algebras by abelian groups in the case of algebraically closed fields of characteristic zero is almost complete — see [9] and also [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10]. In the case of positive characteristic, a description of gradings on the classical simple Lie algebras, with certain exceptions, has been obtained in [1], [2]. In the case of simple Cartan type Lie algebras, the gradings by $\mathbb{Z}$ have been described in [13]. All of them, up to isomorphism, fall into the category of what we call *standard* gradings (which are coarsenings of the canonical $\mathbb{Z}^k$-gradings) — see Definitions 2.5 and 2.7. This paper will deal with gradings on the simple graded Cartan type Lie algebras by arbitrary abelian groups without p-torsion in the case where the base field F (which is always assumed to be algebraically closed) has characteristic $p > 2$. We restrict ourselves to the *graded* Cartan type Lie algebras (i.e., those that have canonical $\mathbb{Z}$-gradings).

We use the notation of [13], which is our standard reference for the background on Cartan type Lie algebras.

Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1.4. *Let L be a simple graded Cartan type Lie algebra over an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 2$. If $p = 3$, assume that L is not isomorphic to $W(1;\underline{1})$ or $H(2;(1,n_2))^{(2)}$. Suppose L is graded by a group G without elements of order p. Then the grading is isomorphic to a standard G-grading.*

The correspondence between the gradings on an algebra by finite abelian groups of order coprime to p and finite abelian subgroups of automorphisms of this algebra is well known. Using the theory of algebraic groups, this extends to infinite abelian groups. Namely, a grading on an algebra $L = \bigoplus_{g\in G} L_g$ by a finitely generated abelian group without elements of order p gives rise to an embedding of the dual group $\widehat{G}$ into $\operatorname{Aut} L$ using the following action:

$$\chi * y = \chi(g)y, \quad \text{for all } y \in L_g, \quad g \in G, \quad \chi \in \widehat{G}.$$

We will denote this embedding by $\eta : \widehat{G} \to \operatorname{Aut} L$, so

$$\eta(\chi)(y) = \chi * y.$$

If L is finite-dimensional, then $\operatorname{Aut} L$ is an algebraic group, and the image $\eta(\widehat{G})$ belongs to the class of algebraic groups called quasi-tori. Recall that a *quasi-torus* is an algebraic group that is abelian and that consists of semisimple elements. Conversely, given a quasi-torus Q in $\operatorname{Aut} L$, we obtain the eigenspace decomposition of L with respect to Q, which is a grading by the group of characters of Q, $G = \mathfrak{X}(Q)$.

In this paper, L is a simple graded Cartan type Lie algebra, i.e., one of the following algebras: $W(m;\underline{n})$, $S(m;\underline{n})^{(1)}$, $H(m;\underline{n})^{(2)}$, $K(m;\underline{n})^{(1)}$ where m is a positive integer and $n = (n_1,\dots,n_m)$ is an m-tuple of positive integers — see the definitions in the next section. We will denote the type of L by $X(m;\underline{n})^{(\infty)}$. The automorphism group of L can be regarded as a subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}_c O(m;\underline{n})$, the group of continuous automorphisms of the commutative divided power algebra $O(m;\underline{n})$. Since $\eta(\widehat{G})$ is a quasi-torus, a result by Platonov [12] tells us that $\eta(\widehat{G})$ is contained in the normalizer of a maximal torus. Starting from this result, we show that, in fact, $\eta(\widehat{G})$ is conjugate to a subgroup of the standard maximal torus T_X (specific for each type $X(m;\underline{n})^{(\infty)}$ of simple graded Cartan type Lie algebra), which is responsible for the standard $\mathbb{Z}^k$-grading on L where k depends on the type X.

Unless it is stated otherwise, we denote by a and b some m-tuples of non-negative integers and by i, j, k, l, q, r some integers. Any subset denoted by a calligraphic letter, for example $\mathcal{W}(m;\underline{n})$, is a subset of $\operatorname{Aut}_c O(m;\underline{n})$.

2. Cartan Type Lie Algebras and Their Standard Gradings

In this section we introduce some basic definitions, closely following [13, Chapter 2]. We start by defining the graded Cartan type Lie algebras $W(m;\underline{n})$, $S(m;\underline{n})^{(1)}$, $H(m;\underline{n})^{(2)}$, $K(m;\underline{n})^{(1)}$.

Definition 2.1. Let $O(m;\underline{n})$ be the commutative algebra

$$O(m;\underline{n}) := \left\{ \sum_{0\leq a\leq \tau(\underline{n})} \alpha(a)x^{(a)} \mid \alpha(a) \in F \right\}$$

over a field F of characteristic p, where $\tau(\underline{n}) = (p^{n_1}-1,\dots,p^{n_m}-1)$, with multiplication

$$x^{(a)}x^{(b)} = \binom{a+b}{a} x^{(a+b)},$$

where $\binom{a+b}{a} = \prod_{i=1}^{m} \binom{a_i+b_i}{a_i}$.

For $1 \leq i \leq m$, let $\epsilon_i := (0,\dots,0,1,0\dots,0)$, where the 1 is at the i-th position, and let $x_i := x^{(\epsilon_i)}$.

There are standard derivations on $O(m;\underline{n})$ defined by $\partial_i(x^{(a)}) = x^{(a-\varepsilon_i)}$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$.

Definition 2.2. Let $W(m;\underline{n})$ be the Lie algebra

$$W(m;\underline{n}) := \left\{ \sum_{1\leq i\leq m} f_i\partial_i \mid f_i \in O(m;\underline{n}) \right\}$$

with the commutator defined by

$$[f\partial_i, g\partial_j] = f(\partial_i g)\partial_j - g(\partial_j f)\partial_i, \quad f,g \in O(m;\underline{n}).$$

The Lie algebras $W(m;\underline{n})$ are called *Witt algebras*. $W(m;\underline{n})$ is a subalgebra of $\operatorname{Der} O(m;\underline{n})$, the Lie algebra of derivations of $O(m;\underline{n})$.

The remaining graded Cartan type Lie algebras are subalgebras of $W(m;\underline{n})$. When dealing with Hamiltonian and contact algebras in m variables (types $H(m;\underline{n})$ and $K(m;\underline{n})$ below), it is useful to introduce the following notation:

$$i' = \begin{cases} i+r, & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq r \\ i-r, & \text{if } r+1 \leq i \leq 2r, \end{cases}$$

$$\sigma(i) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq r \\ -1, & \text{if } r+1 \leq i \leq 2r, \end{cases}$$

where $m = 2r$ in the case of $H(m;\underline{n})$ and $2r+1$ in the case of $K(m;\underline{n})$. Note that we do not define m' or $\sigma(m)$ if $m = 2r+1$. We will also need the following differential forms — see [13, Section 4.2].

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_S &:= dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_m, && m \geq 3, \\ \omega_H &:= \sum_{i=1}^{r} dx_i \wedge dx_{i'}, && m = 2r, \\ \omega_K &:= dx_m + \sum_{i=1}^{2r} \sigma(i)x_i dx_{i'}, && m = 2r+1. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.3. We define the *special, Hamiltonian* and *contact algebras* as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} S(m;\underline{n}) &:= \{D \in W(m;\underline{n}) \mid D(\omega_S) = 0\}, && m \geq 3, \\ H(m;\underline{n}) &:= \{D \in W(m;\underline{n}) \mid D(\omega_H) = 0\}, && m = 2r, \\ K(m;\underline{n}) &:= \{D \in W(m;\underline{n}) \mid D(\omega_K) \in O(m;\underline{n})\omega_K\}, && m = 2r+1, \end{aligned}$$

respectively.

The algebras of Definitions 2.2 and 2.3, as well as their derived subalgebras, are collectively referred to as *graded Cartan type Lie algebras.*

It is known that the Lie algebras $W(m;\underline{n})$ are simple, but $S(m;\underline{n})$ and $H(m;\underline{n})$ are not simple, and $K(m;\underline{n})$ are simple if and only if p divides $m+3$. The first derived algebras $S(m;\underline{n})^{(1)}$ and $K(m;\underline{n})^{(1)}$, and second derived algebras $H(m;\underline{n})^{(2)}$ are simple. The Cartan type Lie algebras defined above are called graded, because they have a canonical $\mathbb{Z}$-grading, defined by declaring

$$\deg(x^{(a)}\partial_i) = a_1 + \cdots + a_m - 1$$

for types $W(m;\underline{n})$, $S(m;\underline{n})$, $H(m;\underline{n})$, and

$$\deg(x^{(a)}\partial_i) = a_1 + \cdots + a_{m-1} + 2a_m - 1 - \delta_{i,m}$$

for $K(m;\underline{n})$. The $\mathbb{Z}$-grading on $W(m;\underline{n})$ is a coarsening of the following $\mathbb{Z}^m$-grading.

Definition 2.4. The $\mathbb{Z}^m$-gradings on $O(m;\underline{n})$ and $W(m;\underline{n})$,

$$O(m;\underline{n}) = \bigoplus_{a\in\mathbb{Z}^m} O(m;\underline{n})_a,$$
$$W(m;\underline{n}) = \bigoplus_{a\in\mathbb{Z}^m} W(m;\underline{n})_a,$$

where

$$O(m;\underline{n})_a = \operatorname{Span}\{x^{(a)}\},$$
$$W(m;\underline{n})_a = \operatorname{Span}\{x^{(a+\epsilon_k)}\partial_k \mid 1 \le k \le m\},$$

are called the *canonical $\mathbb{Z}^m$-gradings on $O(m;\underline{n})$* and $W(m;\underline{n})$, respectively.

Note that in the above grading on $W(m;\underline{n})$ the support includes tuples with negative entries. For example $W(m;\underline{n})_{-\varepsilon_i} = \operatorname{Span}\{\partial_i\}$. The algebras $S(m;\underline{n})$ and $S(m;\underline{n})^{(1)}$ are graded subspaces in the canonical $\mathbb{Z}^m$-grading on $W(m;\underline{n})$, so they inherit the *canonical $\mathbb{Z}^m$-grading.*

Definition 2.5. Let G be an abelian group, $L = W(m;\underline{n})$ or $S(m;\underline{n})^{(1)}$, and φ a homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}^m \to G$. The decompositions $O(m;\underline{n}) = \bigoplus_{g\in G} O_g$, $L = \bigoplus_{g\in G} L_g$, given by

$$O_g = \operatorname{Span}\{x^{(a)} \mid \varphi(a) = g\},$$
$$L_g = \operatorname{Span}\{x^{(a)}\partial_k \mid 1 \le k \le m,\ \varphi(a-\epsilon_k) = g\} \cap L,$$

are G-gradings on $O(m;\underline{n})$ and L, respectively. We call them the *standard G-gradings induced by* φ on $O(m;\underline{n})$ and L, respectively. We will refer to the standard G-grading induced by any φ as a *standard G-grading* when φ is not specified.

Let $L = W(m;\underline{n})$ and let $L = \bigoplus_{g\in G} L_g$ be the standard $\mathbb{Z}^m$-grading induced by φ. Let $\varphi(\varepsilon_i) = g_i \in G$. The corresponding action of $\widehat{G}$ on L is defined by

$$\chi * (x^{(a)}\partial_i) = \chi(\varphi(a-\varepsilon_i))x^{(a)}\partial_i = \chi(g_1)^{a_1}\cdots\chi(g_m)^{a_m}\chi(g_i)^{-1}x^{(a)}\partial_i,$$

for all $\chi \in \widehat{G}$. Hence $\eta(\widehat{G})$ is a subgroup of the torus T_W,

$$T_W := \{\Psi \in \operatorname{Aut} W(m;\underline{n}) \mid \Psi(x^{(a)}\partial_k) = t_1^{a_1}\cdots t_m^{a_m}t_k^{-1}x^{(a)}\partial_k,\ t_j \in F^\times\}.$$

Conversely, if Q is a quasi-torus in T_W, it defines a standard grading on L by $G = \mathfrak{X}(Q)$, the group of characters of Q.

In particular, the standard $\mathbb{Z}^m$-grading on $W(m;\underline{n})$ corresponds to $Q = T_W$. Note that T_W preserves the subalgebra $S(m;\underline{n})^{(1)}$, and the restriction of T_W to $S(m;\underline{n})^{(1)}$ is an isomorphic torus in $\operatorname{Aut} S(m;\underline{n})^{(1)}$.

Lemma 2.6. [13, Section 7.4] *The following are maximal tori of* $\operatorname{Aut} W(m;\underline{n})$, $\operatorname{Aut} S(m;\underline{n})^{(1)}$, $\operatorname{Aut} H(m;\underline{n})^{(2)}$ *and* $\operatorname{Aut} K(m;\underline{n})^{(1)}$*, respectively:*

$$\begin{aligned}
T_W &= T_S = \{\Psi \in \operatorname{Aut} W(m;\underline{n}) \mid \Psi(x^{(a)}\partial_i) = t_1^{a_1}\cdots t_m^{a_m} t_i^{-1} x^{(a)}\partial_i,\ t_i \in F^\times\},\\
T_H &= \{\Psi \in \operatorname{Aut} W(m;\underline{n}) \mid \Psi(x^{(a)}\partial_i) = t_1^{a_1}\cdots t_m^{a_m} t_i^{-1} x^{(a)}\partial_i,\ t_i \in F^\times,\\
&\qquad t_i t_{i'} = t_j t_{j'},\ 1 \le i,j \le r\},\\
T_K &= \{\Psi \in \operatorname{Aut} W(m;\underline{n}) \mid \Psi(x^{(a)}\partial_i) = t_1^{a_1}\cdots t_m^{a_m} t_i^{-1} x^{(a)}\partial_i,\ t_i \in F^\times,\\
&\qquad t_i t_{i'} = t_j t_{j'} = t_m,\ 1 \le i,j \le r\}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

We are now ready to define standard G-gradings on $H(m;\underline{n})^{(2)}$ and $K(m;\underline{n})^{(1)}$. They are obtained by coarsening the canonical $\mathbb{Z}^m$-grading on $W(m;\underline{n})$. The homomorphism $\varphi : \mathbb{Z}^m \to G$ must satisfy certain conditions in order for $\eta(\widehat{G})$ to preserve $H(m;\underline{n})^{(2)}$ and $K(m;\underline{n})^{(1)}$, respectively.

The *canonical* $\mathbb{Z}^{r+1}$*-grading on* $H(2r;\underline{n})$ is the restriction of a coarsening of the $\mathbb{Z}^m$-grading on $W(2r;\underline{n})$, defined as follows. Let $m = 2r$ and let

$$\phi_H : \mathbb{Z}^m \to \mathbb{Z}^m/\langle \varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_{i'} = \varepsilon_j + \varepsilon_{j'} \mid 1 \le i < j \le r\rangle$$

be the quotient map. Then the coarsening of the canonical $\mathbb{Z}^m$-grading on $W(m;\underline{n})$ induced by ϕ_H is a $\mathbb{Z}^{r+1}$-grading, which restricts to the subalgebras $H(m;\underline{n})$, $H(m;\underline{n})^{(1)}$ and $H(m;\underline{n})^{(2)}$.

Similarly, the *canonical* $\mathbb{Z}^{r+1}$*-grading on* $K(2r+1;\underline{n})$ is the restriction of a coarsening of the $\mathbb{Z}^m$-grading on $W(2r+1;\underline{n})$, defined as follows. Let $m = 2r+1$ and

$$\phi_K : \mathbb{Z}^m \to \mathbb{Z}^m/\langle \varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_{i'} = \varepsilon_m \mid 1 \le i \le r\rangle$$

be the quotient map. Then the coarsening of the canonical $\mathbb{Z}^m$-grading on $W(m;\underline{n})$ induced by ϕ_K is a $\mathbb{Z}^{r+1}$-grading, which restricts to the subalgebras $K(m;\underline{n})$ and $K(m;\underline{n})^{(1)}$.

Definition 2.7. Let $L = H(m;\underline{n})^{(2)}$ or $K(m;\underline{n})^{(1)}$ and $X = H$ or K, respectively. Let G be an abelian group, and θ a group homomorphism from $\varphi_X(\mathbb{Z}^m)$ to G. The decomposition $L = \bigoplus_{g\in G} L_g$, given by

$$L_g = \operatorname{Span}\{x^{(a)}\partial_k \mid 1 \le k \le m,\ \theta\varphi_X(a - \epsilon_k) = g\} \cap L,$$

is a G-grading on L. We call it the *standard* G*-grading on* L *induced by* θ, or just a standard G-grading on L if θ is not specified.

We can summarize the above discussion as follows.

Lemma 2.8. *Let* $L = W(m;\underline{n})$, $S(m;\underline{n})^{(1)}$, $H(m;\underline{n})^{(2)}$ *or* $K(m;\underline{n})^{(1)}$*. A grading by a group* G *on* L *is a standard* G*-grading if and only if we have* $\eta(\widehat{G}) \subset T_X$ *where* $X = W$, S, H *or* K*, respectively.* □

The goal of Section 4 is to show that if G has no elements of order p then $\eta(\widehat{G})$ is always containted in a maximal torus. Hence, a conjugate of $\eta(\widehat{G})$ will be contained in T_X, which will mean, in view of Lemma 2.8, that the G-grading is isomorphic to a standard G-grading.

3. THE AUTOMORPHISM GROUPS OF SIMPLE GRADED CARTAN TYPE LIE ALGEBRAS

The automorphism group of each simple graded Cartan type Lie algebra is a subgroup of the automorphism group of the Witt algebra, which in turn is isomorphic to a subgroup of the automorphism group of $O(m;\underline{n})$. We will describe the automorphism groups of the Cartan type Lie algebras as subgroups of the automorphism groups of $O(m;\underline{n})$.

We start by introducing so-called *continuous* automorphisms of $O(m;\underline{n})$.

Definition 3.1. Let $A(m;\underline{n})$ be the set of all m-tuples $(y_1,\dots,y_m)\in O(m;\underline{n})^m$ for which $\det(\partial_i(y_j))_{1\le i,j\le m}$ is invertible in $O(m;\underline{n})$ and also

$$y_i=\sum_{0<a\le\tau(\underline{n})}\alpha_i(a)x^{(a)}\quad\text{with } \alpha_i(p^l\epsilon_j)=0 \text{ if } n_i+l>n_j.$$

The group of continuous automorphisms of $O(m;\underline{n})$, $\operatorname{Aut}_c O(m;\underline{n})$, is defined as follows [13, Theorem 6.32]. For any $(y_1,\dots,y_m)\in A(m;\underline{n})$ we define a map $O(m;\underline{n})\to O(m;\underline{n})$ by setting

$$\varphi\left(\sum_{0\le a\le\tau(\underline{n})}\alpha(a)x^{(a)}\right)=\sum_{0\le a\le\tau(\underline{n})}\alpha(a)\prod_{i=1}^{m}y_i^{(a_i)},$$

where $y^{(q)}$, $q\in\mathbb{N}$, denotes the q^{th} divided power of y [13, Chapter 2].

We have a map Φ from $\operatorname{Aut}_c O(m;\underline{n})$ to $\operatorname{Aut} W(m;\underline{n})$ defined by

$$\Phi(\psi)\left(\sum_{1\le i\le m}f_i\partial_i\right)=\psi\circ\left(\sum_{1\le i\le m}f_i\partial_i\right)\circ\psi^{-1},$$

where the elements of $W(m;\underline{n})$ are viewed as derivations of $O(m;\underline{n})$.

Theorem 3.2. [13, Theorem 7.3.2] *The map* $\Phi:\operatorname{Aut}_c O(m;\underline{n})\to W(m;\underline{n})$ *is an isomorphism of groups provided that* $(m;\underline{n})\neq(1,1)$ *if* $p=3$. *Also, except for the case of* $H(m;n)^{(2)}$ *with* $m=2$ *and* $\min(n_1,n_2)=1$ *if* $p=3$,

$$\begin{array}{rcl}\operatorname{Aut} S(m;\underline{n})^{(1)} &=& \Phi(\{\psi\in\operatorname{Aut}_c O(m;\underline{n})\mid \psi(\omega_S)\in F^\times\omega_S\}),\\ \operatorname{Aut} H(m;\underline{n})^{(2)} &=& \Phi(\{\psi\in\operatorname{Aut}_c O(m;\underline{n})\mid \psi(\omega_H)\in F^\times\omega_H\}),\\ \operatorname{Aut} K(m;\underline{n})^{(1)} &=& \Phi(\{\psi\in\operatorname{Aut}_c O(m;\underline{n})\mid \psi(\omega_K)\in O(m;\underline{n})^\times\omega_K\})\end{array}$$

□

Remark 3.3. The map of the tangent Lie algebras corresponding to Φ is a restriction of $\operatorname{ad}: W\to\operatorname{Der} W$, and hence injective. It follows that Φ is an isomorphism of *algebraic* groups.

We will use the following notation

$$\begin{array}{rcl}\mathcal{S}(m;\underline{n}) &=& \{\psi\in\operatorname{Aut}_c O(m;\underline{n})\mid \psi(\omega_S)\in F^\times\omega_S\},\\ \mathcal{H}(m;\underline{n}) &=& \{\psi\in\operatorname{Aut}_c O(m;\underline{n})\mid \psi(\omega_H)\in F^\times\omega_H\},\\ \mathcal{K}(m;\underline{n}) &=& \{\psi\in\operatorname{Aut}_c O(m;\underline{n})\mid \psi(\omega_K)\in O(m;\underline{n})^\times\omega_K\}.\end{array}$$

The above groups are subgroups of $\mathcal{W}(m;\underline{n}):=\operatorname{Aut}_c O(m;\underline{n})$. We will refer to them collectively by $\mathcal{X}(m;\underline{n})$ where $\mathcal{X}=\mathcal{W}$, $\mathcal{S}$, $\mathcal{H}$ or $\mathcal{K}$.

4. Gradings by groups without p-torsion

As mentioned in Section 2, to prove our Theorem 1.4, we need to show that $\eta(\widehat{G})$ is conjugate in $\operatorname{Aut} X(m;\underline{n})^{(\infty)}$ to a subgroup of the maximal torus T_X where $X = W$, S, H, K. We are going to use an important general result following from [12, Corollary 3.28].

Proposition 4.1. *A quasi-torus of an algebraic group is contained in the normalizer of a maximal torus.* □

This brings us to the necessity of looking at normalizers of maximal tori in $\operatorname{Aut} X(m;\underline{n})^{(\infty)}$. Using the isomorphism Φ described in Section 3, we are going to work inside the groups $\mathcal{W}(m;\underline{n}) = \operatorname{Aut}_c O(m;\underline{n})$.

4.1. **Normalizers of maximal tori.** We denote by $\operatorname{Aut}_0 O(m;\underline{n})$ the subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}_c O(m;\underline{n})$ consisting of all ψ such that $\psi(x_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha_{i,j} x_j$, $\alpha_{i,j} \in F$, $1 \le j \le m$. The group $\operatorname{Aut}_0 O(m;\underline{n})$ is canonically isomorphic to a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(m)$, which we denote by $\mathrm{GL}(m;\underline{n})$. If $n_i = n_j$ for $1 \le i, j \le m$ then $\mathrm{GL}(m;\underline{n}) = \mathrm{GL}(m)$, otherwise $\mathrm{GL}(m;\underline{n}) \neq \mathrm{GL}(m)$. The condition for a tuple $(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ to be in $A(m;\underline{n})$,

$$y_i = \sum_{0<a} \alpha_i(a) x^{(a)} \quad \text{with } \alpha_i(p^l \epsilon_j) = 0 \text{ if } n_i + l > n_j, \tag{1}$$

imposes a *flag* structure on the vector space $V = \operatorname{Span}\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$.

Definition 4.2. Given $\underline{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_m)$, with $m > 0$, we set $\Xi_0 = \emptyset$ and then, inductively,

$$\Xi_i = \Xi_{i-1} \cup \{j \mid n_j = \max_{k \notin \Xi_{i-1}} \{n_k\}\}.$$

Set $V_i = \operatorname{Span}\{x_j \mid j \in \Xi_i\}$ for $i \ge 0$. Then $0 = V_0 \subset V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \cdots \subset V$ is a *flag* in V (i.e., an ascending chain of subspaces). We denote this flag by $\mathcal{F}(m;\underline{n})$ and say that an automorphism ψ of $O(m;\underline{n})$ *respects* $\mathcal{F}(m;\underline{n})$ if $\psi(V_i) = V_i$, for all i.

Condition (1) implies that $\mathrm{GL}(m;\underline{n})$ consists of all elements of $\mathrm{GL}(m)$ that respect $\mathcal{F}(m;\underline{n})$.

According to [13, Section 7.3], $\operatorname{Aut}_0 O(m;\underline{n}) \cap \mathcal{S}(m;\underline{n}) = \operatorname{Aut}_0 O(m;\underline{n})$, i.e., in the case of special algebras we have to deal with the same subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(m)$ as in the case of Witt algebras.

In the Hamiltonian case, $V = \operatorname{Span}\{x_1, \dots, x_r\} \oplus \operatorname{Span}\{x_{1'}, \dots, x_{r'}\}$, and ω_H induces a nondegenerate skew-symmetric form on V, given by $\langle x_i, x_j \rangle = \sigma(i)\delta_{i,j'}$, for all $i, j = 1, \dots, 2r$. The image of $\operatorname{Aut}_0 O(m;\underline{n}) \cap \mathcal{H}(m;\underline{n})$ in $\mathrm{GL}(m)$, $m = 2r$, is the product of the subgroup of scalar matrices and the subgroup $\mathrm{Sp}(m;\underline{n}) := \mathrm{Sp}(m) \cap \mathrm{GL}(m;\underline{n})$. This product is almost direct: the intersection is $\{\pm \mathrm{Id}\}$.

The maximal tori T_X in $\operatorname{Aut} X(m;\underline{n})^{(\infty)}$ described in Lemma 2.6 correspond, under the algebraic group isomorphism Φ, to the following maximal tori in $\mathcal{X}(m;\underline{n})$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{T}_W &= \mathcal{T}_S = \{\psi \in \mathcal{W}(m;\underline{n}) \mid \psi(x_i) = t_i x_i,\ t_i \in F^\times\},\\
\mathcal{T}_H &= \{\psi \in \mathcal{W}(m;\underline{n}) \mid \psi(x_i) = t_i x_i,\ t_i \in F^\times,\ t_i t_{i'} = t_j t_{j'},\},\\
\mathcal{T}_K &= \{\psi \in \mathcal{W}(m;\underline{n}) \mid \psi(x_i) = t_i x_i,\ t_i \in F^\times,\ t_i t_{i'} = t_j t_{j'} = t_m,\ 1 \le i, j \le r\}.
\end{aligned}$$

A convenient way to view the elements of the above tori is to view them as m-tuples of nonzero scalars. Define $\lambda : (F^\times)^m \to \operatorname{Aut}_0 O(m;\underline{n})$ where $\lambda(\underline{t})(x_i) = t_i x_i$ for $1 \le i \le m$. Then $\lambda((F^\times)^m) = \mathcal{T}_W$.

Definition 4.3. We will say that $\underline{t} \in (F^\times)^m$ is *X-admissible* if $\lambda(\underline{t}) \in \mathcal{T}_X$, where $X = W$, S, H or K.

An important subgroup which we use for the description of the normalizer $N_{\mathcal{X}(m;\underline{n})}(\mathcal{T}_X)$ for $X = W, S, H$ or K, is the subgroup $\mathcal{M}(m;\underline{n})$ of $\mathcal{W}(m;\underline{n})$.

Definition 4.4. Let $\mathcal{M}(m;\underline{n})$ be the subgroup of $\mathcal{W}(m;\underline{n})$ that consists of ψ such that, for each $1 \le i \le m$, we have $\psi(x_i) = \alpha_i x_{j_i}$ where $\alpha_i \in F^\times$ and $1 \le j_i \le m$.

Thus $\mathcal{M}(m;\underline{n}) \subset \operatorname{Aut}_0 O(m;\underline{n})$ is isomorphic to the group of monomial matrices that respect the flag.

Lemma 4.5. *The subgroups $N_{\mathcal{W}(m;\underline{n})}(\mathcal{T}_W)$, $N_{\mathcal{S}(m;\underline{n})}(\mathcal{T}_S)$ and $N_{\mathcal{H}(m;\underline{n})}(\mathcal{T}_H)$ are contained in $\mathcal{M}(m;\underline{n})$.*

Proof. We will show that $N_{\mathcal{W}(m;\underline{n})}(\mathcal{T}_X) \subset \mathcal{M}(m;\underline{n})$ for $X = W$, S, H. Since $\mathcal{X}(m;\underline{n}) \subset \mathcal{W}(m;\underline{n})$ we have $N_{\mathcal{X}(m;\underline{n})}(\mathcal{T}_X) \subset N_{\mathcal{W}(m;\underline{n})}(\mathcal{T}_X)$.

Let $\psi \in N_{\mathcal{W}(m;\underline{n})}(\mathcal{T}_X)$. For any $1 \le i \le m$ the element x_i is a common eigenvector of $\mathcal{T}_X$ so $\psi(x_i)$ is also a common eigenvector of $\mathcal{T}_X$. Also, since $\psi \in \operatorname{Aut}_c O(m;\underline{n})$, $\psi(x_i) = \displaystyle\sum_{0<a\le\tau(\underline{n})} \alpha_i(a)x^{(a)}$ where, among other conditions, $\alpha_i(\epsilon_{j_i}) \neq 0$ for some $1 \le j_i \le m$.

First we consider the case $X = W$ and $X = S$ (recall that $\mathcal{T}_W = \mathcal{T}_S$).

It is easy to see that the eigenspace decomposition of $O(m;\underline{n})$ with respect to $\mathcal{T}_W$ is the canonical $\mathbb{Z}^m$-grading on $O(m;\underline{n})$. The homogeneous space $O_a = \operatorname{Span}\{x^{(a)}\}$ is the eigenspace with eigenvalue $\underline{t}^a := t_1^{a_1}\cdots t_m^{a_m}$ with respect to $\lambda(\underline{t}) \in \mathcal{T}_W$. It follows that $\psi(x_i) \in O_a$ for some $0 \le a \le \tau(\underline{n})$ since $\psi(x_i)$ is an eigenvector of $\mathcal{T}_X$. The condition that $\alpha_i(\epsilon_{j_i}) \neq 0$ for some $1 \le j_i \le m$ forces $a = \varepsilon_{j_i}$. Hence $\psi \in \mathcal{M}(m;\underline{n})$.

We continue with the case of $X = H$.

The torus $\mathcal{T}_H$ is contained in $\mathcal{T}_W$. In order for $\lambda(\underline{t}) \in \mathcal{T}_W$ to belong to $\mathcal{T}_H$, the m-tuple $\underline{t}$ must be H-admissible, i.e., satisfy $t_i t_{i'} = t_j t_{j'}$ for $1 \le i, j \le r$ where $m = 2r$. The eigenspace decomposition of $O(m;\underline{n})$ with respect to $\mathcal{T}_H$ is a coarsening of the canonical $\mathbb{Z}^m$-grading. The eigenspace with eigenvalue $\underline{t}^a$ with respect to $\lambda(\underline{t}) \in \mathcal{T}_H$ is $Q_a := \bigoplus O_b$ where the direct sum is over the set of all b such that $\underline{t}^a = \underline{t}^b$ for all H-admissible $\underline{t}$. If $O_b \neq 0$ and $t_k = \underline{t}^b$ for all H-admissible $\underline{t}$, then $b = \varepsilon_k$ since all the entries in the m-tuple b are non-negative. This implies that $Q_{\varepsilon_k} = \operatorname{Span}\{x_k\}$. Now $\psi(x_i) \in Q_a$ for some $0 \le a \le \tau(\underline{n})$ since $\psi(x_i)$ is an eigenvector of $\mathcal{T}_H$. The condition that $\alpha_i(\epsilon_{j_i}) \neq 0$ for some $1 \le j_i \le 2r$ again forces $a = \varepsilon_{j_i}$. Hence $\psi \in \mathcal{M}(m;\underline{n})$. □

For the case of contact algebras, similar arguments do not give us that $N_{\mathcal{W}(m;\underline{n})}(\mathcal{T}_K)$ is in $\mathcal{M}(m;\underline{n})$.

Lemma 4.6. *If $\psi \in N_{\mathcal{K}(m;\underline{n})}(\mathcal{T}_K)$, $m = 2r+1$ then*

$$\psi(x_m) = \alpha_m(\varepsilon_m)x_m + \sum_{l=1}^{r} \alpha_m(\varepsilon_l + \varepsilon_{l'})x_l x_{l'} \tag{2}$$

and, for $1 \le i \le 2r$, we have $\psi(x_i) = \alpha_i(\varepsilon_{j_i})x_{j_i}$ where $1 \le j_i \le 2r$.

Proof. We will prove that any $\psi \in N_{\mathcal{W}(m;\underline{n})}(\mathcal{T}_K)$ has the form above. Since x_i is a common eigenvector of $\mathcal{T}_K$ we have that $\psi(x_i)$ is also a common eigenvector of $\mathcal{T}_K$. Since $\psi \in \operatorname{Aut}_c O(m;\underline{n})$, we have $\psi(x_i) = \sum_{0<a\leq\tau(\underline{n})} \alpha_i(a)x^{(a)}$ where, among other conditions, $\alpha_i(\epsilon_{j_i}) \neq 0$ for some $1 \leq j_i \leq m$.

The torus $\mathcal{T}_K$ is contained in $\mathcal{T}_W$. In order for a $\lambda(\underline{t})$ to be in $\mathcal{T}_K$, the m-tuple $\underline{t}$ must be K-admissible, i.e., $t_it_{i'} = t_m$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. The eigenspace decomposition of $O(m;\underline{n})$ with respect to $\mathcal{T}_K$ is a coarsening of the canonical $\mathbb{Z}^m$-grading. The eigenspace with eigenvalue $\underline{t}^a$ with respect to $\lambda(\underline{t}) \in \mathcal{T}_K$ is
$R_a = \bigoplus O_b$ where the direct sum is over the set of b such that $\underline{t}^a = \underline{t}^b$ for all K-admissible $\underline{t}$. If $O_b \neq 0$ and $\underline{t}^b = t_k$ for all K-admissible $\underline{t}$, $1 \leq k \leq 2r$, then $b = \varepsilon_k$ since all entries of the m-tuple b are non-negative. This implies that $R_{\varepsilon_k} = \operatorname{Span}\{x_k\}$ for $1 \leq k \leq 2r$. If $O_b \neq 0$ and $\underline{t}^b = t_m$ for all K-admissible $\underline{t}$ then either $b = \varepsilon_m$ or $b = \varepsilon_i+\varepsilon_{i'}$ where $1 \leq i \leq r$. This implies that $R_{\varepsilon_m} = \operatorname{Span}\{x_m, x_ix_{i'} \mid 1 \leq i \leq r\}$.

Now $\psi(x_i) \in R_a$ for some $0 \leq a \leq \tau(\underline{n})$. The condition that $\alpha_i(\epsilon_{j_i}) \neq 0$ for some $1 \leq j_i \leq m$ forces $a = \varepsilon_{j_i}$. Note that the dimension of R_{ε_i} is 1 for $1 \leq i \leq 2r$ and the dimension of R_{ε_m} is $r + 1$. Hence, for $1 \leq i \leq 2r$, we have $\psi(x_i) = \alpha_i(\varepsilon_{j_i})x_{j_i}$ for some $1 \leq j_i \leq 2r$. Also, $\psi(x_m) \in R_{\varepsilon_m}$ which implies (2). □

4.2. **Diagonalization of quasi-tori.** In this subsection we prove that any quasi-torus in $\operatorname{Aut} X(m;\underline{n})^{(\infty)}$ is conjugate to a subgroup of the maximal torus T_X, where X is W, S, H or K. As before we pass from $\operatorname{Aut} X(m;\underline{n})^{(\infty)}$ to $\mathcal{X}(m;\underline{n})$ by virtue of the isomorphism Φ described in Section 3.

Proposition 4.7. *Let $\mathcal{Q}$ be a quasi-torus contained in $N_{\mathcal{X}(m;\underline{n})}(\mathcal{T}_X)$ where $X = W$ or S. Then there exists $\psi \in \mathcal{X}(m;\underline{n})$ such that $\psi\mathcal{Q}\psi^{-1} \subset \mathcal{T}_X$.*

Proof. Recall the flag $\mathcal{F}(m;\underline{n})$,

$$V_0 \subset V_1 \subset \cdots \subset V.$$

Let $U_i = \operatorname{Span}\{x_j \mid x_j \in V_i,\, x_j \notin V_{i-1}\}$. Then $V_i = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{i} U_j$. Since $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathcal{M}(m;\underline{n})$ we have $\mathcal{Q}(U_i) = U_i$. (Here, as before, we identify $\operatorname{Aut}_0 O(m;\underline{n})$ with a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(m)$.)

Restricting the action of $\mathcal{Q}$ to U_i, we obtain a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(U_i)$. Since $\mathcal{Q}|_{U_i}$ is a quasi-torus, there exists a $P_i \in \mathrm{GL}(U_i)$ such that $P_i(\mathcal{Q}|_{U_i})P_i^{-1}$ is diagonal. We can extend the action of P_i to the whole space V by setting $P_i(y) = y$ for all $y \in U_j$, $i \neq j$. These extended P_i respect $\mathcal{F}(m;\underline{n})$. The product of these transformations, $P = P_1 \cdots P_l$, is an element of $\operatorname{Aut}_0 O(m;\underline{n})$, which diagonalizes $\mathcal{Q}$. □

In order to obtain the analog of Proposition 4.7 in the case of Hamiltonian algebras, we consider the canonical skew-symmetric inner product $\langle\, , \rangle$ on V given by $\langle x_j, x_k\rangle = \sigma(j)\delta_{j,k'}$, for all $j, k = 1, \ldots, 2r$.

Lemma 4.8. *Let $\mathcal{Q}$ be a quasi-torus contained in $\mathrm{Sp}(m;\underline{n})$. Then there is a basis $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{2r}$ of V such that $\langle e_j, e_k\rangle = \sigma(j)\delta_{j,k'}$, all e_j are common eigenvectors of $\mathcal{Q}$, and $V_i = \operatorname{Span}\{e_j \mid j \in \Xi_i\}$ for all i.*

Proof. We can decompose $V = \bigoplus V^\gamma$ where V^γ are the eigenspaces in V with respect to $\mathcal{Q}$, indexed by $\gamma \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{Q})$ where $\mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{Q})$ is the group of characters of $\mathcal{Q}$.

Since $\mathcal{Q}(V_i) = V_i$ for any i, there is a basis $\{y_j\}_{j=1}^{2r}$ such that y_j are eigenvectors of $\mathcal{Q}$ and each $V_i = \operatorname{Span}\{y_j \mid j \in \Xi_i\}$.

We have $\langle x_j, x_k\rangle = \sigma(j)\delta_{j,k'}$. We will show by induction on r that there is a basis $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^{2r}$ such that $\langle e_j, e_k\rangle = \sigma(j)\delta_{j,k'}$, all e_j are common eigenvectors of $\mathcal{Q}$, and $V_i = \operatorname{Span}\{e_j \mid j \in \Xi_i\}$. The base case $r = 1$ is obvious.

We have a basis $\{y_j\}_{j=1}^{2r}$ such that y_j are eigenvectors of $\mathcal{Q}$ and each $V_i = \operatorname{Span}\{y_j \mid j \in \Xi_i\}$. We apply a process similar to the Gram–Schmidt process to find a new basis of common eigenvectors for $\mathcal{Q}$ that satisfies the desired conditions.

Since $V_1 \neq 0$ and $\langle , \rangle$ is nondegenerate, $\langle V_1, V_l\rangle \neq 0$ for some l. Let l be minimal, i.e., $\langle V_1, V_l\rangle \neq 0$ and $\langle V_1, V_i\rangle = 0$ for $i < l$. So there exist $y_s \in V_1$ and $y_t \in V_l$ such that $\langle y_s, y_t\rangle \neq 0$ and $\langle y_s, V_i\rangle = 0$ if $i < l$ by the minimality of l. Let $\gamma_j \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{Q})$ be the eigenvalue of y_j. Since $\mathcal{Q}$ consists of symplectic transformations, we have $\gamma_s = \gamma_t^{-1}$.

For $j \neq s, t$, let

$$z_j = \langle y_s, y_t\rangle y_j - \langle y_s, y_j\rangle y_t + \langle y_t, y_j\rangle y_s.$$

The z_j with y_s and y_t form a basis of V. They also satisfy

$$\langle y_s, z_j\rangle = \langle y_s, y_t\rangle\langle y_s, y_j\rangle - \langle y_s, y_j\rangle\langle y_s, y_t\rangle + \langle y_t, y_j\rangle\langle y_s, y_s\rangle = 0,$$

and similarly $\langle y_t, z_j\rangle = 0$.

We also have the property that $z_j \in V_i$ if and only if $y_j \in V_i$. Indeed, for $i < l$ and $y_j \in V_i$, we have $\langle y_s, y_j\rangle = 0$ by the minimality of l. This shows that z_j is in $V_1 + V_i$ and hence $z_j \in V_i$. For $i \geq l$ and $y_j \in V_i$ we have $y_j, y_t, y_s \in V_i$ which implies $z_j \in V_i$. Finally, we want to verify that z_j are common eigenvectors of $\mathcal{Q}$. There are three cases to consider.

Case 1: $\gamma_j \neq \gamma_s^{\pm 1}$

Recall that $\gamma_s = \gamma_t^{-1}$. Since $\gamma_j \neq \gamma_s^{\pm 1}$, we have $\langle y_s, y_j\rangle = \langle y_t, y_j\rangle = 0$. This means $z_j = \langle y_s, y_t\rangle y_j$, which is an eigenvector with eigenvalue γ_j.

Case 2: $\gamma_j = \gamma_s$ or γ_s^{-1}, and $\gamma_s \neq \gamma_s^{-1}$.

Suppose $\gamma_j = \gamma_s$. Since $\gamma_j \neq \gamma_s^{-1}$, we have $\langle y_s, y_j\rangle = 0$. This means $z_j = \langle y_s, y_t\rangle y_j + \langle y_t, y_j\rangle y_s$, which is an eigenvector with eigenvalue $\gamma_j = \gamma_s$. A similar argument applies if $\gamma_j = \gamma_s^{-1}$.

Case 3: $\gamma_j = \gamma_s = \gamma_s^{-1}$.

Since $z_j = \langle y_s, y_t\rangle y_j - \langle y_s, y_j\rangle y_t + \langle y_t, y_j\rangle y_s$ and $\gamma_s = \gamma_s^{-1} = \gamma_t$, we see that z_j is an eigenvector with eigenvalue γ_j.

In order to use the induction hypothesis we relabel our basis as follows: Pick $x_q \in V_1$ with $\langle x_q, V_l\rangle \neq 0$. Since $\langle V_1, V_{l-1}\rangle = 0$, we have $x_{q'} \in V_l$ and $x_{q'} \notin V_{l-1}$. Set $w_q = y_s$, $w_{q'} = y_t$, $w_s = z_q$, $w_t = z_{q'}$ and $w_j = z_j$ for $j \neq q, q', s, t$. The relabelled basis still satisfies $V_i = \operatorname{Span}\{w_j \mid j \in \Xi_i\}$ since $z_{q'}, y_t \in V_l$, and $z_q, y_s \in V_1$. Also, $\langle w_q, w_j\rangle = \langle w_{q'}, w_j\rangle = 0$ for $j \neq q, q'$, $\langle w_q, w_{q'}\rangle \neq 0$, and w_j are eigenvectors of $\mathcal{Q}$.

Let $V' = \operatorname{Span}\{w_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq 2r,\ j \neq q, q'\}$. Then V' is invariant under $\mathcal{Q}$, and the quasi-torus $\mathcal{Q}|_{V'}$ satisfies the conditions of the lemma with the flag

$$V_i' = \operatorname{Span}\{w_j \mid j \in \Xi_i \setminus \{q, q'\}\} = V_i \cap V'.$$

Since $\dim V' < \dim V$, we can apply the induction hypothesis and find a basis $\{e_j\}_{j \neq q,q'}$, $1 \leq j \leq 2r$, such that $\langle e_j, e_k\rangle = \sigma(j)\delta_{j,k'}$, where e_j are eigenvectors of $\mathcal{Q}|_{V'}$ and ${V'}_i = \operatorname{Span}\{e_j \mid j \in \Xi_i \setminus \{q, q'\}\}$.

In order to have a complete basis for V we set $e_q = \dfrac{\sigma(q)}{\langle w_q, w_{q'}\rangle} w_q$ and $e_{q'} = w_{q'}$. Then the basis $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^{2r}$ is a basis with the desired properties, and the induction step is proven. □

In the following proofs we will deal with the differential forms ω_H and ω_K.

Proposition 4.9. *Let $\mathcal{Q}$ be a quasi-torus contained in $N_{\mathcal{H}(m;\underline{n})}(\mathcal{T}_H)$. Then there exists $\psi \in \mathrm{Sp}(m;\underline{n})$ such that $\psi\mathcal{Q}\psi^{-1} \subset \mathcal{T}_H$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.5, $N_{\mathcal{H}(m;\underline{n})}(\mathcal{T}_H) \subset \mathcal{M}(m;\underline{n})$, which we regard as a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(m)$. Recall that any element of $\mathrm{Aut}_0\, O(m;\underline{n}) \cap \mathcal{H}(m;\underline{n})$ can be written as αS where $\alpha \in F^\times$ and $S \in \mathrm{Sp}(m;\underline{n})$. Let

$$\mathcal{Q}' = \{S \in \mathrm{Sp}(m;\underline{n}) \,|\, \text{there exists } \alpha \in F^\times \text{ such that } \alpha S \in \mathcal{Q}\}.$$

$\mathcal{Q}'$ is a quasi-torus since $\mathcal{Q}$ is a quasi-torus.

Let $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^m$ be a basis as in Lemma 4.8 with respect to $\mathcal{Q}'$, and define $\psi : V \to V$ by $\psi(x_j) = e_j$ for $1 \le j \le m$. Since $\langle \psi(x_j), \psi(x_k)\rangle = \langle e_j, e_k\rangle = \sigma(j)\delta_{j,k'} = \langle x_j, x_k\rangle$, we have $\psi \in \mathrm{Sp}(m)$. Since $V_i = \mathrm{Span}\{e_j \mid j \in \Xi_i\} = \mathrm{Span}\{x_j \mid j \in \Xi_i\}$, we have $\psi(V_i) = V_i$. Hence $\psi \in \mathrm{Sp}(m;\underline{n})$. Since e_j are common eigenvectors of $\mathcal{Q}'$, we have $\psi^{-1}\mathcal{Q}'\psi \subset \mathcal{T}_H$. Since every element of $\mathcal{Q}$ has the form αS with $\alpha \in F^\times$ and $S \in \mathcal{Q}'$, we have $\psi^{-1}\mathcal{Q}\psi \subset \mathcal{T}_H$. Replacing ψ with ψ^{-1}, we get the result. □

In order to get a similar result for the contact algebras, we use the Hamiltonian algebras contained in them. Let $m = 2r+1$, $\underline{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_{2r+1})$ and $\underline{n}' = (n_1, \dots, n_{2r})$.

Lemma 4.10. *Let $\psi \in \mathrm{Aut}_0\, O(2r;\underline{n}')$. If $\psi(\omega_H) = \alpha\omega_H$ then there exists $\overline{\psi} \in \mathcal{K}(2r+1,\underline{n})$ such that $\overline{\psi}|_{O(2r;\underline{n}')} = \psi$ and $\overline{\psi}(x_{2r+1}) = \alpha x_{2r+1}$.*

Proof. Suppose $\psi \in \mathrm{Aut}_0\, O(2r,\underline{n})$ given by $\psi(x_i) = \displaystyle\sum_{j=1}^{2r} \alpha_{i,j}x_j$ has the property $\psi(\omega_H) = \alpha\omega_H$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi(\omega_H) &= \psi\left(\sum_{i=1}^r dx_i \wedge dx_{i+r}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^r d(\psi(x_i)) \wedge d(\psi(x_{i+r})) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^r d\left(\sum_{j=1}^{2r} \alpha_{i,j}x_j\right) \wedge d\left(\sum_{k=1}^{2r} \alpha_{i+r,k}x_k\right) \\
&= \sum_{1\le j<k\le 2r} \left(\sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_{i,j}\alpha_{i+r,k} - \alpha_{i,k}\alpha_{i+r,j}\right) dx_j \wedge dx_k
\end{aligned}$$

we have $\sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_{i,j}\alpha_{i+r,k} - \alpha_{i,k}\alpha_{i+r,j} = \delta_{k,j+r}\alpha$ for $1 \le j \le r$.

Define $\overline{\psi} \in \operatorname{Aut}_c O(2r+1, \underline{n})$ by setting $\overline{\psi}(x_i) = \psi(x_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq 2r$, and $\overline{\psi}(x_m) = \alpha x_m$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\psi}(\omega_K) &= \overline{\psi}\,(dx_m) + \overline{\psi}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r}(x_i dx_{i+r} - x_{i+r}dx_i)\right) \\
&= d(\alpha x_m) + \sum_{i=1}^{r}\left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^{2r}\alpha_{i,j}x_j\right) d\left(\sum_{k=1}^{2r}\alpha_{i+r,k}x_k\right)\right. \\
&\qquad - \left.\left(\sum_{k=1}^{2r}\alpha_{i+r,k}x_k\right) d\left(\sum_{j=1}^{2r}\alpha_{i,j}x_j\right)\right) \\
&= \alpha dx_m + \sum_{1\leq j<k\leq 2r}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r}\alpha_{i,j}\alpha_{i+r,k} - \alpha_{i,k}\alpha_{i+r,j}\right) x_j dx_k \\
&\qquad + \sum_{1\leq j<k\leq 2r}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r}\alpha_{i,k}\alpha_{i+r,j} - \alpha_{i,j}\alpha_{i+r,k}\right) x_k dx_j \\
&= \alpha dx_m + \sum_{j=1}^{r}\alpha x_j dx_{j+r} + \sum_{j=1}^{r}(-\alpha)x_{j+r}dx_j \\
&= \alpha\omega_K
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\overline{\psi} \in \mathcal{K}(2r+1, \underline{n})$. □

Proposition 4.11. *Let $\mathcal{Q}$ be a quasi-torus contained in $N_{\mathcal{K}(m;\underline{n})}(\mathcal{T}_K)$. Then there exists $\psi \in \mathcal{K}(m;\underline{n})$ such that $\psi\mathcal{Q}\psi^{-1} \subset \mathcal{T}_K$.*

Proof. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{Q} \subset N_{\mathcal{K}(m;\underline{n})}(\mathcal{T}_K)$. By Lemma 4.6, we have $\mu(x_i) = \alpha_i x_{j_i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2r$ and $\mu(x_m) = \alpha_m x_m + \sum_{l=1}^{r}\beta_l x_l x_{l'}$. Since $\mu \in \mathcal{K}(m;\underline{n})$, we must have $\mu(\omega_K) \in O(m;\underline{n})^{\times}\omega_K$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu(\omega_K) &= \mu\left(dx_m + \sum_{i=1}^{r}(x_i dx_{i'} - x_{i'}dx_i)\right) \\
&= d\left(\alpha_m x_m + \sum_{l=1}^{r}\beta_l x_l x_{l'}\right) + \sum_{i=1}^{r}\alpha_i\alpha_{i'}(x_{j_i}dx_{j_{i'}} - x_{j_{i'}}dx_{j_i}) \\
&= \alpha_m dx_m + \sum_{l=1}^{r}\beta_l(x_l dx_{l'} + x_{l'}dx_l) + \sum_{i=1}^{r}\alpha_i\alpha_{i'}(x_{j_i}dx_{j_{i'}} - x_{j_{i'}}dx_{j_i})
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\mu(\omega_K) = \alpha_m\omega_K$ since the only term with dx_m is $\alpha_m dx_m$.

We want to show that $\mu|_{O(2r;\underline{n}')}$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}(2r;\underline{n}')$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}
d\omega_K &= d\left(dx_m + \sum_{i=1}^{r}(x_i dx_{i'} - x_{i'}dx_i)\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{r}(d(x_i dx_{i'}) - d(x_{i'}dx_i)) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{r}(dx_i \wedge dx_{i'} - dx_{i'} \wedge dx_i) = 2\omega_H,
\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$2\mu(\omega_H) = \mu(2\omega_H) = \mu(d\omega_K) = d\mu(\omega_K) = d(\alpha_m\omega_K) = 2\alpha_m\omega_H,$$

so $\mu|_{O(2r,\underline{n}')} \in \mathcal{H}(2r;\underline{n}')$.

We have shown that $N_{\mathcal{K}(m;\underline{n})}(\mathcal{T}_K)|_{O(2r;\underline{n}')} \subset \mathcal{H}(2r;\underline{n}')$. Moreover, since the restriction of $\mathcal{T}_K$ to $O(2r;\underline{n}')$ is $\mathcal{T}_H$, where $\mathcal{T}_H$ is with respect to $\mathcal{H}(2r;\underline{n}')$, we have $N_{\mathcal{K}(m;\underline{n})}(\mathcal{T}_K)|_{O(2r,\underline{n}')} \subset N_{\mathcal{H}(2r;\underline{n}')}(\mathcal{T}_H)$. By Proposition 4.9, there exists $\psi \in \mathrm{Sp}(2r;\underline{n}')$ such that $\psi(\mathcal{Q}|_{O(2r,\underline{n}')})\psi^{-1} \subset \mathcal{T}_H$.

By Lemma 4.10, we can extend ψ to an automorphism $\overline{\psi}$ in $\mathcal{K}(m;\underline{n})$ such that $\overline{\psi}(x_i) = \psi(x_i)$ for $1 \le i \le 2r$ and $\overline{\psi}(x_m) = x_m$ (since $\psi(\omega_H) = \omega_H$). Let $\mu \in \mathcal{Q}$ as before and set $\rho = \overline{\psi}\mu\overline{\psi}^{-1}$. Then $\rho(x_i) = \gamma_i x_i$ for $1 \le i \le 2r$, $\gamma_i \in F^\times$, and $\rho(x_m) = \alpha_m x_m + y$ where $y = \psi\left(\sum_{l=1}^r \beta_l x_l x_{l'}\right)$. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(\omega_H) &= \overline{\psi}\mu\overline{\psi}^{-1}(\omega_H) = \overline{\psi}\mu(\omega_H) = \overline{\psi}(\alpha_m\omega_H) = \alpha_m\omega_H \quad \text{and also}\\ \rho(\omega_H) &= \rho\left(\sum_{l=1}^r dx_l \wedge dx_{l'}\right) = \sum_{l=1}^r d\rho(x_l)\wedge d\rho(x_{l'}) = \sum_{l=1}^r \gamma_l\gamma_{l'}\, dx_l \wedge dx_{l'}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence we conclude that $\gamma_l\gamma_{l'} = \alpha_m$ for $1 \le l \le r$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(\omega_K) &= \alpha_m dx_m + dy + \sum_{i=1}^r \gamma_i\gamma_{i'}(x_{j_i}dx_{j_{i'}} - x_{j_{i'}}dx_{j_i})\\ &= \alpha_m x_m + dy + \alpha_m\sum_{l=1}^r (x_l dx_{l'} - x_{l'}dx_l) = \alpha_m\omega_K + dy.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, $\rho(\omega_K) = \overline{\psi}\mu\overline{\psi}^{-1}(\omega_K) = \overline{\psi}\mu(\omega_K) = \overline{\psi}(\alpha_m\omega_K) = \alpha_m\omega_K$. Since $dy = d\left(\psi\left(\sum_{l=1}^r \beta_l x_l x_{l'}\right)\right) = \psi\left(d\left(\sum_{l=1}^r \beta_l x_l x_{l'}\right)\right)$, we obtain:

$$0 = d\left(\sum_{l=1}^r \beta_l x_l x_{l'}\right) = \sum_{l=1}^r \beta_l(x_l dx_{l'} + x_{l'}dx_l),$$

which implies $\beta_l = 0$ for $1 \le l \le r$. It follows that $\rho \in \mathcal{T}_K$. □

Proposition 4.12. *Let $L = W(m;\underline{n})$, $S(m;\underline{n})^{(1)}$, $H(m;\underline{n})^{(2)}$ or $K(m;\underline{n})^{(1)}$ and let Q be a quasi-torus in $\operatorname{Aut} L$. Then there exists $\Psi \in \operatorname{Aut} L$ such that $\Psi Q\Psi^{-1} \subset T_X$ where $X = W$, S, H or K, respectively.*

Proof. Let Q be a quasi-torus in $\operatorname{Aut} L$. It follows that $\mathcal{Q} := \Phi^{-1}(Q)$ is a quasi-torus in $\mathcal{X}(m;\underline{n})$. By Proposition 4.1, $\mathcal{Q}$ is contained in the normalizer of a maximal torus in $\mathcal{X}(m;\underline{n})$. Since all maximal tori are conjugate, without loss of generality we may assume that $\mathcal{Q} \subset N_{\mathcal{X}(m;\underline{n})}(\mathcal{T}_X)$. Now Propositions 4.7, 3, 4.11 show that there exists $\psi \in \mathcal{X}(m;\underline{n})$ such that $\psi\mathcal{Q}\psi^{-1} \subset \mathcal{T}_X$. Hence

$$\Phi(\psi)Q(\Phi(\psi))^{-1} = \Phi(\psi)\Phi(\mathcal{Q})(\Phi(\psi))^{-1} = \Phi(\psi\mathcal{Q}\psi^{-1}) \subset \Phi(\mathcal{T}_X) = T_X.$$

□

We can now prove Theorem 1.4.

Proof. Let $L = W(m;\underline{n})$, $S(m;\underline{n})^{(1)}$, $H(m;\underline{n})^{(2)}$ or $K(m;\underline{n})^{(1)}$. Suppose $L = \bigoplus_{g\in G} L_g$ is a G-grading where G is a group without elements of order p. Without loss of generality, we assume that the support of the grading generates G. Let $\eta : \widehat{G} \to \operatorname{Aut} L$ be the corresponding embedding and $Q := \eta(\widehat{G})$. Then Q is a quasi-torus in $\operatorname{Aut} L$. By Proposition 4.12, we can conjugate Q by an automorphism Ψ of

L so that $\Psi Q \Psi^{-1} \subset T_X$. It follows from Lemma 2.8 that the grading $L = \bigoplus_{g \in G} L'_g$, where $L'_g = \Psi(L_g)$, is a standard grading. $\square$

REFERENCES

[1] Bahturin, Y.; Kochetov, M., *Group Ggradings on the Lie algebra* $\mathfrak{psl}_n$ *in positive characteristic*. J. Pure Appl. Algebra **213** (2009), no. 9, 1739–1749.

[2] Bahturin, Y.; Kochetov, M.; Montgomery, S. *Group Gradings on Simple Lie Algebras in Positive Characteristic*. Proc. AMS **137** (2009) no.4, 1245-1254.

[3] Bahturin, Y.; Shestakov, I. P.; Zaicev, M. V. *Gradings on simple Jordan and Lie algebras.* J. Algebra **283** (2005), no. 2, 849–868.

[4] Bahturin, Y.; Tvalavadze, M. *Group gradings on* G_2. Comm. Algebra 37 (2009), no. 3, 885–893

[5] Bahturin, Y; Zaicev, M. *Group gradings on simple Lie algebras of type "A"*. J. Lie Theory **16** (2006), no. 4, 719–742.

[6] Draper, C.; Martín, C. *Gradings on* $\mathfrak{g}_2$. Linear Algebra Appl. **418** (2006), no. 1, 85–111.

[7] Draper, C.; Martín, C. *Gradings on the Albert algebra and on* $\mathfrak{f}_4$. To appear in Revista Matemática Iberoamericana.

[8] Draper, C.; Viruel, A. *Group gradings on* $\mathrm{o}(8, \mathbb{C})$. Rep. Math. Phys. **61** (2008), no. 2, 265–280.

[9] Elduque, A. *Fine gradings on simple classical Lie algebras.* arXiv:0906.0655 (2009).

[10] Halvíček, M.; Patera, J. and Pelantová, E. *On Lie gradings II.* Linear Algebra App. **277** (1998), 97-125.

[11] McGraw, J. *Gradings by Finite Groups of the Witt Algebra.* to appear in Communications in Algebra.

[12] Platonov, V. P. *The Theory of Algebraic Linear Groups and Periodic Groups.* Trans Amer. Math. Soc. Series 2 **69** (1968), 61–159.

[13] Strade, H. *Simple Lie algebras over Fields of Positive Characteristic, I Structure Theory.* De Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics, 38. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 2004. viii+540 pp.